# Semi-Monotone Sets and Triangulation of Tame Monotone Families

### Andrei Gabrielov

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University

www.math.purdue.edu/~agabriel

Joint work with **N. Vorobjov** (Bath, UK) and **S. Basu** (Purdue) Motivation: Approximation of tame sets by compact sets.

Tame = definable in an o-minimal structure over  $\mathbf{R}$ .

All sets and families below are tame.

A family of compact sets  $\{S_{\delta}, \delta > 0\}$  is **monotone** if  $S_{\delta} \subset S_{\eta}$  for  $\delta > \eta$ . We say that  $S_{\delta}$  approximates  $S = \bigcup_{\delta > 0} S_{\delta}$ .

A monotone family  $S_{\delta}$  can be defined as  $\{f \ge \delta\}$  where f is an upper semi-continuous function. Then  $S = \{f > 0\}$ .

**Theorem.** (A.G., Vorobjov, 2009). Let  $S_{\delta}$  be a monotone family approximating S. For each  $\delta$ , let  $S_{\delta,\epsilon} \searrow S_{\delta}$  as  $\epsilon \searrow 0$ , so that  $S_{\delta,\epsilon}$  is a compact neighborhood of  $S_{\eta}$  for  $\eta > \delta$ . Then, for  $0 \le \epsilon_0 \ll \delta_0 \ll \ldots \ll \epsilon_k \ll \delta_k \ll 1$ ,

$$T_k = S_{\delta_0, \epsilon_0} \cup \ldots \cup S_{\delta_k, \epsilon_k}$$

satisfies  $\pi_i(T_k) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_i(S)$  for  $i \leq k$ .

**Conjecture.**  $\pi_i(T_k) \cong \pi_i(S)$  for i < k. If  $k \ge \dim S$ , then  $T_k$  is homotopy equivalent to S.

**Proved** when  $S_{\delta} = \{f \ge \delta\}$  is **separable**: There is a triangulation of K such that, for any open simplex  $\Lambda$ , the closures of the sets  $\{f = \delta\} \cap \Lambda$  and  $\{f = \eta\} \cap \Lambda$  are disjoint for  $0 < \eta \ll \delta$ .

## **Triangulation of Monotone Families**

**Conjecture.** Given a monotone family  $S_{\delta}$  in a compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , there is an (ordered) triangulation of K such that, for each open k-simplex  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda \cap S_{\delta}$  is **equivalent** to one of explicitly defined **standard families** in the standard k-simplex  $\Delta$ .

**Proved** for  $n \leq 3$ .

#### Equivalent means that

(a) There exist a standard family  $\{V_{\delta}\}$  in  $\Delta$  and a face-preserving *PL*-homeomorphism  $h : \overline{\Lambda} \to \overline{\Delta}$  such that, for every  $\delta > 0$ , there is  $\eta > 0$  such that  $V_{\delta} \subset h(S_{\eta})$  and  $h(S_{\delta}) \subset V_{\eta}$ ; (b) For small  $\delta > 0$ , there exist face-preserving *PL*-homeomorphisms  $h_{\delta} : \overline{\Lambda} \to \overline{\Delta}$  such that  $h_{\delta}(S_{\delta}) = V_{\delta}$ . **Theorem.** Each standard family is equivalent to a family that can be partitioned into separable families.



**Example.** A non-separable 2D family, and an equivalent family that can be partitioned into two separable families.

#### **Monotone Boolean Functions**

A Boolean function  $\psi : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is **monotone** (decreasing) if replacing 0 by 1 at any position of its argument either preserves its value or changes it from 1 to 0.

Function  $\psi$  is **lex-monotone** if it is monotone with respect to the lexicographic order of its arguments, assuming  $x_1 \prec \ldots \prec x_n$ .

Each standard family  $\{V_{\delta}\}$  in the standard *n*-simplex  $\Delta$  is assigned a lex-monotone Boolean function  $\psi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  so that  $\psi|_{x_j=0}$  is assigned to  $\overline{V_{\delta}}|_{\Delta_j}$  for  $j \neq 0$ ,  $\psi|_{x_1=1}$  is assigned to  $\overline{V_{\delta}}|_{\Delta_0}$ . Here  $\Delta_j$  is the facet of  $\Delta$  opposite its vertex j.



Standard 1D and 2D families



Partition (iterated barycentric subdivision) of a non-standard family into standard families





Standard 3D families (proper, separable)



Standard 3D families (non-separable)

## **Regular Cells**

**Definition.** A bounded set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is a **regular** *n*-cell if  $(X, \overline{X})$  is homeomorphic to  $(B, \overline{B})$  where  $B = (0, 1)^n$ .

X is *PL*-regular if  $(X, \overline{X})$  is *PL*-homeomorphic to  $(B, \overline{B})$ .

**Conjecture.** Given a tame monotone family  $S_{\delta}$  in a compact K, there exists a *PL*-regular cell decomposition of K such that, for each open *n*-cell C,

 $C \cap S_{\delta}$  is a family of *PL*-regular *n*-cells,

 $C \cap \partial S_{\delta}$  is a family of *PL*-regular (n-1)-cells in  $\partial C$ .

Need a decent supply of regular cells to prove this Conjecture.

**Remark.** A cylindrical *n*-cell is called regular in "Tame topology and o-minimal structures" by L. van den Dries if its upper and lower bounds are monotone in each of the variables, and its projection to  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  is a regular (in the same sense) (n-1)-cell. Such a cell is **not** necessarily topologically regular.

**Example** Let  $X = \{x > 0, y > 0, x + y < 1, 0 < z < x^2 + y^2\}$ , and  $Y = \{(x, y, z, t) : 0 < t < 1, (x/t, y/t, z) \in X\}.$ 

Then Y is regular in the sense of van den Dries. However, for 1/2 < c < 1,  $\partial Y \cap \{z = c\}$  is a cone over two disjoint segments, so  $\partial Y$  is not a manifold, hence Y is not topologically regular.

## Semi-Monotone Sets

A coordinate cone is an intersection of the sets  $\{x_j ? 0\}$  where  $? \in \{<, =, >\}$ .

An open bounded set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is **semi-monotone** if its intersection with any translation of any coordinate cone is either empty or connected.

**Theorem.** (Basu, A.G., Vorobjov, 2010) A tame semi-monotone set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a *PL*-regular *n*-cell.

**Remark.** Theorem can be proved for semi-algebraic sets over any real closed field.





Examples of semi-monotone (above) and not semi-monotone (below) sets in  ${\bf R}^2$ 

**Proof of Theorem:** Induction on the dimension n. Use local conical structure of tame sets. A cone over a regular (n-1)-cell is a regular n-cell.

To glue things together, we need to cut a semi-monotone regular cell by generic coordinate hyperplanes and prove that the pieces are again regular cells.

**Generalized Schönflies Theorem.** If  $S^{m-1}$  is a locally flat PL-sphere embedded in  $S^m$ , then it cuts  $S^m$  into two PL-cubes.

True for  $m \neq 4$ , unknown for m = 4. We need it for m = n, n-1.

For  $n \leq 5$ , we circumvent Generalized Schönflies Theorem with

**Proposition.** Any acyclic simplicial complex with  $\leq$  5 vertices has a vertex with the acyclic link.



Acyclic 2D complex with 6 vertices, each having non-acyclic link



Acyclic 2D complex with 6 vertices, each having non-acyclic link

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

Acyclic 2D complex with 6 vertices, each having non-acyclic link

### **Regular Boolean Functions**

A Boolean function  $\psi : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$  is **regular** if, for any sequence of quantifiers  $\exists_j$  and  $\forall_k$  applied to  $\psi$ , the result **does not depend** on the order of quantifiers.

Here  $\exists_j(\psi) = \psi|_{x_j=0} \lor \psi|_{x_j=1}, \quad \forall_k(\psi) = \psi|_{x_k=0} \land \psi|_{x_k=1}.$ 

**Theorem.** Let us subtract from the cube  $(-1,1)^n$  the union of closed octants corresponding to  $\{\psi = 1\}$  for a Boolean function  $\psi$ .

The result is a regular cell iff  $\psi$  is regular.

**Theorem.** (Basu, A.G., Vorobjov, 2010) A tame open bounded set is semi-monotone iff, for each  $x \notin X$ , the set of octants with the vertex at x that do not intersect X corresponds to a non-zero regular Boolean function.

A bounded upper semi-continuous function f defined on a semimonotone set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is **submonotone** if, for any t, the set  $\{f < t\}$  is either empty or semi-monotone. A function f is **supermonotone** if -f is submonotone.

**Theorem.** (Basu, A.G., Vorobjov, 2010). An open and bounded set  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is semi-monotone iff  $X = \{f(x) < t < g(x)\}$ for some functions f and g on a semi-monotone set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , where f(x) < g(x) for all  $x \in U$ , f is submonotone and g is supermonotone. A bounded continuous function f defined on a semi-monotone set X is **monotone** if it is sub- and supermonotone, and either strictly monotone or constant in each variable.

A map  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^k$  is **monotone** if each  $f_j$  is a monotone function on X and, for any n functions selected from  $x_i$  and  $f_j$ , each of them is monotone (either strictly increasing, or strictly decreasing, or constant) on the level curves of the other n-1 functions.

In both definitions, independence of the type of monotonicity on the choice of constants should be assumed.

This is true if all  $f_j$  are monotone and smooth, and all differentials  $dx_i$ ,  $df_j$  are in general position at each point of X. **Theorem.** Let  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^k$  be a monotone map,  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $Y = \{x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$  be the graph of f. Then, for every *n*-dimensional coordinate subspace L of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ such that projection Z of Y to L is open, Z is a semi-monotone set, and Y is a graph of a monotone map  $Z \to \mathbb{R}^k$ .