## Golod and tight manifold triangulations

Daisuke Kishimoto

#### Based on joint work with Kouyemon Iriye

Toronto; July 2024

- 1. Golod complex
- 2. Tight complex
- 3. Main result

# 1. Golod complex

## Golodness

- *•* Let F be a field.
- Let  $S = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , where  $|x_i| = 2$ .
- Let  $R = S/I$ , where *I* is a homogeneous ideal with  $I \subset (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$ .

### Proposition

*There is a coefficient-wise inequality*

$$
P(\operatorname{\mathsf{Tor}}^R(\mathbb{F},\mathbb{F});t)\leq \frac{(1+t^2)^n}{1-t(P(\operatorname{\mathsf{Tor}}^S(R,\mathbb{F});t)-1)}
$$

*where*  $P(V; t)$  *denotes the Poincaré series of a graded vector space*  $V$ .

## Golodness

- *•* Let F be a field.
- Let  $S = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , where  $|x_i| = 2$ .
- Let  $R = S/I$ , where *I* is a homogeneous ideal with  $I \subset (x_1, \ldots, x_n)^2$ .

### **Proposition**

*There is a coefficient-wise inequality*

$$
P(\operatorname{Tor}^R(\mathbb{F},\mathbb{F});\,t)\leq \frac{(1+t^2)^n}{1-t(P(\operatorname{Tor}^S(R,\mathbb{F});\,t)-1)}
$$

*where*  $P(V; t)$  *denotes the Poincaré series of a graded vector space* V.

#### **Definition**

We say that *R* is Golod if the equality holds.

# Koszul homology

- *•* Let K(*R*) denote the Koszul complex of *R* (with respect to the presentation  $R = S/I$ ).
- *•* There is an isomorphism *<sup>H</sup>∗*(K(*R*)) *<sup>∼</sup>*<sup>=</sup> Tor*<sup>S</sup>* (*R,* F).

## Theorem (Golod '62)

*The ring R is Golod if and only if all products and (higher) Massey products in H∗*(K(*R*)) *vanish.*

## Stanley-Reisner ring

• Let *K* be a simplicial complex with vertex set  $[m] = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ .

### Definition

The Stanley-Reisner ring of  $K$  over  $\mathbb F$  is defined by

$$
\mathbb{F}[K] = \mathbb{F}[x_1,\ldots,x_m]/(x_{i_1}\cdots x_{i_k} | \{i_1,\ldots,i_k\} \notin K)
$$

where  $|x_i|=2$ .

# Stanley-Reisner ring

• Let *K* be a simplicial complex with vertex set  $[m] = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ .

### **Definition**

The Stanley-Reisner ring of  $K$  over  $\mathbb F$  is defined by

$$
\mathbb{F}[K] = \mathbb{F}[x_1,\ldots,x_m]/(x_{i_1}\cdots x_{i_k} | \{i_1,\ldots,i_k\} \notin K)
$$

where  $|x_i|=2$ .

#### Problem

*Given a property of noetherian ring, find a characterization of it for* F[*K*] *in terms of K.*

# Golod complex

## **Definition**

A simplicial complex *K* is  $\mathbb{F}\text{-}$  Golod if  $\mathbb{F}[K]$  is Golod.

## Example

The Alexander dual of a Cohen-Macaulay complex is Golod.

## Example

The square graph  $C_4$  is not  $\mathbb{F}$ -Golod for any field  $\mathbb{F}$  as the Koszul homology of  $\mathbb{F}[C_4]$  has non-trivial products.

### Example

There is a triangulation of  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  which is Q-Golod but not  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -Golod.

# Golod complex

## **Definition**

A simplicial complex *K* is  $\mathbb{F}\text{-}$  Golod if  $\mathbb{F}[K]$  is Golod.

## Example

The Alexander dual of a Cohen-Macaulay complex is Golod.

## Example

The square graph  $C_4$  is not  $\mathbb{F}$ -Golod for any field  $\mathbb{F}$  as the Koszul homology of  $\mathbb{F}[C_4]$  has non-trivial products.

## Example

There is a triangulation of  $\mathbb{R}P^2$  which is Q-Golod but not  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -Golod.

## Problem

*Find a combinatorial characterization of* F*-Golod complexes.*

## Moment-angle complex

### Definition

The moment-angle complex for *K* is defined by

$$
Z_K=\bigcup_{\sigma\in K}Z(\sigma),
$$

where  $Z(\sigma) = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m$  such that  $X_i = D^2$  for  $i \in \sigma$  and  $X_i = S^1$ for  $i \notin \sigma$ .

## Moment-angle complex

## **Definition**

The moment-angle complex for *K* is defined by

$$
Z_K=\bigcup_{\sigma\in K}Z(\sigma),
$$

where  $Z(\sigma) = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_m$  such that  $X_i = D^2$  for  $i \in \sigma$  and  $X_i = S^1$ for  $i \notin \sigma$ .

### Theorem (Baskakov, Buchstaber, and Panov '04)

*The cellular cochain complex of Z<sup>K</sup> is homotopy equivalent to the Koszul complex of* F[*K*]*.*

#### **Corollary**

*If*  $Z_K$  *is a suspension, then K is*  $\mathbb{F}$ -*Golod for any field*  $\mathbb{F}$ *.* 

# Fat-wedge filtration

#### **Definition**

For  $i = 0, 1, ..., m$ , let

 $Z_K^i = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in Z_K \mid \text{at least } m - i \text{ of } x_j \text{ are the basepoint}\}.$ 

The filtration

$$
* = Z_K^0 \subset Z_K^1 \subset \cdots \subset Z_K^{m-1} \subset Z_K^m = Z_K
$$

is called the fat-wedge filtration.

# Fat-wedge filtration

### **Definition**

For  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, m$ , let

 $Z_K^i = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in Z_K \mid \text{at least } m - i \text{ of } x_j \text{ are the basepoint}\}.$ 

The filtration

$$
* = Z_K^0 \subset Z_K^1 \subset \cdots \subset Z_K^{m-1} \subset Z_K^m = Z_K
$$

is called the fat-wedge filtration.

### Theorem (Iriye and K '19)

*The fat-wedge filtration is a cone decomposition, where the attaching maps are explicitly described in terms of K.*

### Theorem (Iriye and K '19)

*The moment-angle complex*  $Z_K$  *is a suspension iff the fat-wedge filtration is trivial.* 9 / 27

## Desuspending moment-angle complexes

- *•* A sequentially Cohen-Macaulay complex is a generalization of a Cohen-Macaulay complex.
- *•* A totally homology fillable complex is a generalization of the Alexander dual of a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay complex.

## Theorem (Iriye and K '19)

*If* K is a totally homology fillable complex, then  $Z_K$  is a suspension.

## Desuspending moment-angle complexes

- *•* A sequentially Cohen-Macaulay complex is a generalization of a Cohen-Macaulay complex.
- *•* A totally homology fillable complex is a generalization of the Alexander dual of a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay complex.

## Theorem (Iriye and K '19)

*If K* is a totally homology fillable complex, then  $Z_K$  is a suspension.

*•* We say that *K* is *k*-neighborly if any *σ ⊂* [*m*] with *|σ|* = *k* + 1 is a simplex of *K*.

### Theorem (Iriye and K '19)

*If*  $K$  *is*  $\frac{\dim K}{2}$  $\frac{nK}{2}$ ]-neighborly, then  $Z_K$  is a suspension.

#### Theorem

*If K is a graph, then TFAE:*

- 1. *K is Golod;*
- 2. *K is chordal, that is, minimal cycles in K are triangles;*

3. *Z<sup>K</sup> is a suspension.*

### **Corollary**

*A triangulation of a circle is minimal if and only if it is a triangle which is the minimal triangulation.*

Theorem (Iriye and K '18)

*If K is a triangulation of a closed* F*-oriented surface, then TFAE:*

- 1. *K is Golod;*
- 2. *K is* 1*-neighborly;*
- 3. *Z<sup>K</sup> is a suspension.*

### Remark

- 1. Most 1-neighborly triangulation of surfaces are minimal, so in most cases, triangulations of a surface is Golod if and only if it is minimal.
- 2. General 2-dimensional Golod complexes are characterized by 1-neighborliness and other condition.

Theorem (Iriye and K '18)

*If K is a triangulation of a closed* F*-oriented surface, then TFAE:*

- 1. *K is Golod;*
- 2. *K is* 1*-neighborly;*
- 3. *Z<sup>K</sup> is a suspension.*

### Remark

- 1. Most 1-neighborly triangulation of surfaces are minimal, so in most cases, triangulations of a surface is Golod if and only if it is minimal.
- 2. General 2-dimensional Golod complexes are characterized by 1-neighborliness and other condition.

Question

Is Golodness of manifold triangulations related to minimal triangulations?

# 2. Tight complex

# Tight embedding

*•* Let *M* be a closed connected manifold.

Theorem (Chern and Lashof '57)

*The total absolute curvature of an embedding*  $M \to \mathbb{R}^N$  *is bounded below by the number of critical point of some Morse function on M.*

### **Corollary**

*The total absolute curvature of an embedding*  $M \to \mathbb{R}^N$  *is bounded below by the sum of the Betti numbers of M.*

### **Definition**

We say that an embedding  $M\to \mathbb{R}^N$  is  $\mathbb{F}\text{-tight}$  if its total absolute curvature equals the sum of the Betti numbers of *M* over F.

#### Theorem

An embedding  $f\colon M\to \mathbb{R}^N$  is  $\mathbb{F}\text{-}$ tight if and only if the natural map

 $H_*(f(M) \cap \mathbb{F}) \to H_*(f(M); \mathbb{F})$ 

*is injective for almost all half spaces H.*

## Tight complex

*•* The full subcomplex of *K* over *∅ ̸*= *I ⊂* [*m*] is defined by

$$
K_I = \{\sigma \in K \mid \sigma \subset I\}.
$$

#### Definition

A connected simplicial complex K is called  $\mathbb{F}$ -tight if the natural map

$$
H_*(K_I;\mathbb{F})\to H_*(K;\mathbb{F})
$$

is injective for all *∅ ̸*= *I ⊂* [*m*].

#### Example

Observe that if  $K$  is  $\mathbb{F}$ -tight, then it is 1-neighborly. Hence a triangulation of a circle is  $\mathbb F$ -tight if and only if it is a triangle graph.

# Minimal triangulation

### Definition

A triangulation *K* of a space *X* is strongly minimal if for any *k*, it has less or equal *k*-simplices than any other triangulations of *X*.

### Conjecture (Kühnel and Lutz '99)

Every F-tight manifold triangulation is strongly minimal.

#### Remark

The conjecture was verified by Kühnel and Lutz '99 in dimension 2 and by Baguchi, Datta, and Spreer '17 in dimension 3.

# Minimal triangulation

### Definition

A triangulation *K* of a space *X* is strongly minimal if for any *k*, it has less or equal *k*-simplices than any other triangulations of *X*.

### Conjecture (Kühnel and Lutz '99)

Every F-tight manifold triangulation is strongly minimal.

#### Remark

The conjecture was verified by Kühnel and Lutz '99 in dimension 2 and by Baguchi, Datta, and Spreer '17 in dimension 3.

#### Question

Is there a relation between Golodness and tightness for manifold triangulations?

# Tight-neighborly manifold triangulation

### **Definition**

A *d*-manifold triangulation *K* is called tight-neighborly if

$$
\binom{m-d-1}{2}=\binom{d+2}{2}b_1(K;\mathbb{F}).
$$

#### Remark

Tight-neighborliness does not depend on the ground field  $\mathbb{F}$ , and is much easier to check than tightness.

### Proposition

*Tight-neighborly manifold triangulations are vertex minimal.*

Theorem (Bagchi, Datta and Spreer '17)

*For a d-manifold triangulation K with d ≥* 3*, we consider the conditions:*

- 1. *K is* F*-tight;*
- 2. *K is tight-neighborly;*

*Then* 1 *implies* 2*. Moreover, if*  $d = 3$ *, then* 2 *implies* 1*.* 

## Theorem (K and Iriye '23)

*Let K be a triangulation of an* F*-oriented d-manifold with d ≥* 3*, and consider the conditions:*

- 1. *K is* F*-Golod;*
- 2. *K is* F*-tight;*
- 3. *K is tight-neighborly;*
- 4. *Z<sup>K</sup> is a suspension.*

Then there are implications

$$
1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad 2 \quad \Longleftarrow \quad 3 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad 4 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad 1.
$$

Moreover if  $d = 3$ , then

$$
2 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad 3
$$

so all conditions are equivalent.

# Golod and tight manifold triangulations

Question

Are Golodness and tightness equivalent for manifold triangulations?

# Golod and tight manifold triangulations Question

Are Golodness and tightness equivalent for manifold triangulations?

*• K* is weakly F-Golod if products in the Koszul homology of F[*K*] vanish.

### Proposition (Iriye and K '23)

*Let K be a triangulation of an* F*-oriented manifold. If K is weakly* F*-Golod, then it is* F*-tight.*

#### Remark

In dim *≤* 3, all (higher) Massey products in the Koszul homology of F[*K*] are trivial, but in dim  $\geq$  4, there may be nontrivial ones.

#### Remark

There is a simplicial complex which is weakly F-Golod but not F-Golod  $(K$ atthän  $'17$ ).

## 3. Main result

## Main result

Theorem

*If K is an* F*-tight complex, then it is an* F*-Golod complex.*

## Main result

#### Theorem

*If K is an* F*-tight complex, then it is an* F*-Golod complex.*

#### **Corollary**

*Let K be a triangulation of an* F*-orientable manifold. Then K is* F*-Golod if and only if it is* F*-tight.*

#### **Corollary**

*Let K be a triangulation of an* F*-orientable manifold. Then K is* F*-Golod if and only if it is weakly* F*-Golod.*

# The dga  $\mathcal{C}^*(K)$

### Definition

We define the dga  $\mathfrak{C}^*(\mathcal{K})$  by  $\mathfrak{C}^0(\mathcal{K})=\mathbb{F}$  and

$$
\mathcal{C}^p(K)=\bigoplus_{\emptyset\neq I\subset [m]}\widetilde{C}^{p-|I|-1}(K_I)
$$

for *p >* 0 such that the differential is induced from those of *C ∗* (*KI*) and the product is given by

$$
\widetilde{C}^{p-|I|-1}(K_I)\otimes \widetilde{C}^{q-|J|-1}(K_I)\rightarrow \widetilde{C}^{p+q-|I\cup J|-1}(K_{I\cup J})
$$

for *I* ∩ *J* =  $\emptyset$  which is induced from the inclusion  $K_{I \cup J}$  →  $K_I * K_J$ .

#### Remark

The dga  $\mathfrak{C}^*(\mathcal{K})$  is motivated by the cellular cochain complex of  $Z_{\mathcal{K}}.$ 

### Proposition

*The dga*  $C^*(K)$  *is homotopy equivalent to the Koszul complex of*  $\mathbb{F}[K]$ *.* 

- Then we need to show that if *K* is F-tight, then all (higher) Massey products and products in  $H^*(\mathcal{C}^*(K))$  vanish.
- *•* To this end, we need to consider higher homotopies among simplicial complexes.

## Higher prism operator

- *•* We may choose a total order on the vertex set of a simplicial complexe.
- *•* Then for simplicial complexes *K* and *L*, we can define a simplicial complex  $K \otimes L$  which triangulates  $|K| \times |L|$ .

#### Theorem

*Given a simplicial map*  $H: K \otimes \Delta^q \rightarrow L$ , there is a map

$$
P_H\colon C^*(L)\to C^{*-q}(K)
$$

*satisfying*

$$
\partial P_H + (-1)^{q-1} P_H \partial = \sum_{i=0}^q (-1)^i P_{H \circ (1 \otimes d^i)}
$$

*where d i* : ∆*q−*<sup>1</sup> *→* ∆*<sup>q</sup> denotes the i-th coface operator.*

- *•* The higher prism operator *P<sup>H</sup>* is defined by counting all lattice paths.
- If  $q = 0$ , then  $P_H = H$ .
- If  $q = 1$ , then  $P_H$  is the usual prism operator as

$$
\partial P_H + P_H \partial = P_{H \circ (1 \otimes d^0)} - P_{H \circ (1 \otimes d^1)}
$$
  
=  $H|_{K \otimes 1} - H|_{K \otimes 0}$ .

- *•* The proof of the main theorem is done by applying higher prism operators to the complex  $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{K}).$
- *•* Tightness is used to lift a cocycle in  $C^*(K_I) \subset \mathcal{C}^*(K)$  to that in *C*e*∗* (*K*), which proves that products in *H ∗* (C *∗* (*K*)) vanich.
- *•* The higher prism operator *P<sup>H</sup>* is defined by counting all lattice paths.
- If  $q = 0$ , then  $P_H = H$ .
- If  $q = 1$ , then  $P_H$  is the usual prism operator as

$$
\partial P_H + P_H \partial = P_{H \circ (1 \otimes d^0)} - P_{H \circ (1 \otimes d^1)}
$$
  
=  $H|_{K \otimes 1} - H|_{K \otimes 0}$ .

- *•* The proof of the main theorem is done by applying higher prism operators to the complex  $\mathcal{C}^*(\mathcal{K}).$
- *•* Tightness is used to lift a cocycle in  $C^*(K_I) \subset \mathcal{C}^*(K)$  to that in *C*e*∗* (*K*), which proves that products in *H ∗* (C *∗* (*K*)) vanich.

# Thank you!